SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, JULY 14, 2005 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S118180

F039200 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ (VICTOR MANUEL)

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed

The judgment of the Court of Appeal is reversed and that court is directed to remand the case to the trial court, directing it to strike the portion of its order that defendant pay \$5,402.67 in restitution to the Department of Toxic Substance Control.

Opinion by Kennard, J.
--- joined by George, C.J., Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Moreno, JJ.

S119975

D037485 Fourth Appellate District, Division One PEOPLE v. ATHAR (SYED ABIEDA)

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

We conclude that the enhancements set forth in section 186.10, subdivision (c), apply to a conviction of conspiracy to commit money laundering under section 182, subdivision (a). We therefore affirm the Court of Appeal judgment.

Opinion by Chin, J.
--- joined by George, C.J., Baxter &
Werdegar, JJ.
Dissenting Opinion by Kennard, J.
--- joined by Moreno, J.

S123238

G030681 Fourth Appellate District, G030825 Division Three MW ERECTORS v. NIEDERHAUSER ORNAMENTAL & METAL WORK CO., INC. Opinion filed: Affirmed in part, reversed in part

The Court of Appeal's judgment is reversed insofar as it permits MW to sue for compensation for work under the structural contract. In all other respects, the judgment of

the Court of Appeal, reversing the trial court's grant of summary judgment for Niederhauser, is affirmed.

Majority Opinion by Baxter, J.
--- joined by George, C.J., Kennard, Werdegar, Chin, Moreno, JJ.

S050102

PEOPLE v. HENSLEY (PAUL L.) Extension of time granted

to September 19, 2005 to file appellant's opening brief. The court anticipates that after that date, only five further extensions totaling about 280 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S058025

PEOPLE v. FOSTER (RICHARD D.) Extension of time granted

to September 9, 2005 to file respondent's brief. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Susan Elizabeth Miller's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by 11/8/2005.

S058489

PEOPLE v. CAREY (DEWAYNE M.) Extension of time granted

to September 16, 2005 to file appellant's reply brief. Extension is granted based upon Deputy State Public Defender Gary D. Garcia's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by 9/16/2005. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S064337

PEOPLE v. ROGERS (RAMON)

Extension of time granted

to September 13, 2005 to file respondent's brief. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 140 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Maxine P. Cutler's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by 1/31/2006.

S072949

PEOPLE v. BRASURE (SPENCER R.)

Extension of time granted

to September 13, 2005 to file respondent's brief.

S127641

A104078 First Appellate District,

Division Four

FRYE v. TENDERLOIN HOUSING

Extension of time granted

Respondent's time to serve and file the answer to amicus curiae briefs is extended to and including July 27, 2005.

S132666

F044291 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. NAVARRO

Extension of time granted

to August 16, 2005 for appellant to file the opening brief on the merits.

S133343

A105312 First Appellate District, Division Three MICROSOFT CORP v. FRANCHISE TAX BOARD Order filed

The order filed July 11, 2005 is hereby amended to read in its entirety:

"On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to and including July 15, 2005."

Bar Misc. 4186

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO

(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO ORIGINAL ORDER)